Q. Please describe the legislative and regulatory background leading to the decision to conduct a competitive auction to procure Basic Generation Service in New Jersey.

Α.

Certainly. In January 1999, the New Jersey legislature passed the Electric Discount and Energy Competition Act ("EDECA" or "the Act"), which was signed into law on February 9, 1999. EDECA provided that all New Jersey retail electric customers could select their electric supplier starting on August 1, 1999. EDECA also established Basic Generation Service ("BGS") as a regulated service designed to provide electricity to customers who, for whatever reason, did not arrange to purchase electric supply from a competitive entity. (In New Jersey, competitive entities offering unregulated retail generation service are referred to as Third Party Suppliers or TPSs.) EDECA established a transition period lasting four years and starting on August 1, 1999. During the transition period, BGS rates were frozen. EDECA provided that, after the transition, BGS rates were to be market-priced.

For the first three years of the transition, each Electric Distribution Company ("EDC") was required to continue to provide BGS to its customers. The EDCs all settled on restructuring plans that involved divesting generation through asset sales to an unrelated entity or through transfers to an unregulated affiliate. The retail rates for BGS were fixed for all four years to realize the electric discounts specified in EDECA. The four EDCs used a variety of means to supply BGS customers during the first three years, ranging from a full-requirements contract with an affiliate that owned transferred generation capacity, to a variety of market purchases of energy, capacity and other hedging instruments. The EDCs that relied on market purchases built up substantial deferred accounts that represented the excess of power acquisition costs over revenues

from the fixed BGS rates. The EDCs were entitled to recovery of these deferred amounts under the terms of the relevant settlements.

EDECA specified that no later than three years after the starting date of retail competition, the BPU was to issue a decision as to whether to make available to electric suppliers the opportunity to provide Basic Generation Service on a competitive basis. EDECA and the settlements reached by the EDCs in their restructuring cases contemplated that a competitive bid process would potentially be used to select BGS suppliers.

On June 6, 2001, the BPU directed the four EDCs to file specific proposals to implement a competitive procurement process for basic generation service to be provided during the fourth year of the transition period established by the Act. The fourth year of the transition was from August 1, 2002 to July 31, 2003.

On June 29, 2001, the four EDCs filed a joint proposal to use a single statewide auction process to procure supply for the BGS load of all four EDCs. That proposal was the subject of substantial discovery and other parties were invited to comment on the EDCs' proposal and submit alternative proposals. After conducting a hearing and reviewing comments from all interested parties, the BPU in December of 2001 approved the single statewide auction process for BGS to be held in February of 2002. As retail BGS rates were fixed for the fourth year of the transition period, there was a need to establish the market price for BGS for that year in isolation so that any difference between that year's costs and fixed rates could be deferred for later reconciliation. The supply period was only one year.

In June or July of each succeeding year, the EDCs have filed a proposal to procure supply for their BGS customers in compliance with the BPU's directives. Each year, the EDCs have proposed a statewide auction process to simultaneously procure supply for all BGS load in the state. Each year, the BPU has requested alternate proposals from other parties, or suggestions on improvements to the past year's process. Discovery has been served every year, and every year the BPU solicits comments from all interested parties, and the BPU holds a hearing process. Considering the entire record in the proceeding, the BPU then has made a decision in November or December of each year. The BPU has authorized each year a statewide auction to be held in February.

#### Q. After the first year, how has the auction process changed?

A.

One major difference in the auction process that has occurred since 2002 is that starting in February 2003, there have been two auctions instead of one.

One auction is to procure supply for all but the larger commercial and industrial customers. The supply period for this auction (the BGS-FP auction, "FP" for fixed-price) is three years. The procurement is made on a rolling basis so that one-third of the state's BGS-FP load is up for auction each year.

The other auction, (the BGS-CIEP auction, CIEP for Commercial and Industrial Pricing) is to procure supply for the larger commercial and industrial customers. CIEP service is a real time energy price service. The supply period for this auction is one year.

Another major difference with the first auction is that starting with the second auction, the results of the BGS auctions are the basis for establishing retail BGS rates. This is because the retail rates were frozen in the first year. But, as discussed above, the

572		differe	nce between the frozen rates and the BGS acquisition cost was to be deterred for
573		later re	ecovery or refund.
574	III.B.	The G	oals of the New Jersey BGS Auctions
575	Q.	What	is your understanding of the goals of the statewide auction process in New
576		Jersey	?
577	A.	Based	on my involvement in the design and implementation of the BGS auction process,
578		I belie	ve that the EDCs had the following goals for the auction proposal that they offered:
579		1)	To obtain reliable supply on behalf of BGS customers at prices consistent
580			with market conditions. EDECA specified that the prices charged for the
581			regulated BGS service should reflect the market. The EDCs were interested in
582			implementing an auction process that resulted in prices reflective of market
583			conditions.
584		2)	To encourage maximum participation by establishing a fair and transparent
585			competitive process. The process should be transparent in terms of the
586			requirements for participation, the supply contract, the retail rates that will result
587			from the auction, and the manner in which final auction prices are determined and
588			the manner in which winners emerge at the auction. The process should be fair in
589			terms of providing timely and equal access to information for all bidders.
590		3)	To efficiently allocate supply responsibility over the multiple products in the
591			auction. An efficient allocation of supply helps to ensure that prices are best
592			reflective of market and that any market perceptions regarding differences in
593			serving various products are reflected in the prices.

394	4)	To have competitive entities take, manage, and price BGS risks. BGS is
195		essentially a price-risk management service where competitive entities assemble
596		supply components in the competitive wholesale market, assess risks, price these
597		risks, and offer a fixed price to customers. Regulation is not needed for a service
598		(portfolio and price-risk management) where there is vigorous competitive
599		discipline, and having competitive entities manage BGS risks ensures that
500		customers obtain the full benefits of this competition for the price-risk
501		management function.

- To implement a process for BGS pricing that encouraged the development of and efficient working of retail energy markets. This means pricing BGS at market rates in order to encourage the development of efficient retail competition.

  BGS rates should reflect class, seasonal and time-of-day market differences in order to encourage efficient consumption and conservation decisions, and in order to minimize non-productive customer switching in response to rate design inefficiencies.
- 6) **To design a flexible process.** A flexible process is one that can accommodate future refinements without radical overhaul.
- 7) To minimize customer confusion. The procurement of BGS should, to the extent possible, present customers who stay on EDC service with the same type of retail rate and billing that they had experienced previously.
- 8) To preserve the financial integrity of the EDCs. BGS costs and revenues can exceed 50% of total EDC cost and revenue. BGS costs are an order of magnitude greater than EDC earnings. The EDCs earn no profit from BGS and could not

617		afford to take risk. It was imperative that the BGS process protect the financial
618		integrity of the EDCs.
619	Q.	Why are the goals of the New Jersey process relevant to this proceeding?
620	Α.	The New Jersey process is an example of an auction process to procure supply for default
621		customers that is working well and is considered a success by the regulator, by the
622		distribution companies and by bidders. It is necessary to examine and understand the
623		goals of the process to understand how the process was designed and how all elements of
624		the process work together. All features of the proposal were designed to work in concert
625		with each other and to support the goals of the process.
626	III.C.	The Key Elements of an Auction Process
627	Q.	Is it correct that one of the items that you were asked to prepare testimony on was a
628		description of the key elements of the New Jersey BGS auction process?
629	A.	Yes.
630	Q.	What in your opinion are the key elements of the New Jersey BGS auction process?
631	A.	I believe that there are eight key elements to any auction process that will be used to
632		procure electric service that will be supplied to retail electric customers. These elements
633		are summarized below.
634		1) <b>Product design</b> . The product design fully describes what is being procured at the
635		auction. It includes a description of the obligations of the supplier upon winning,
636		the allocation of risks to the supplier, the term of supply, the customers and load
637		classes to be supplied, etc. Ultimately, product design should be fully described
638		in the supplier contract.

639		2)	<b>Auction format.</b> The auction format is the way in which bids will be solicited
640			and accepted, the way in which bids will be processed, the way a clearing price
641			will be determined, and the way in which winners will emerge.
642		3)	Bidder interface. The bidder interface is the way in which bidders are provided
643			with information about the auction process, the way in which data is
644			disseminated, and the way in which the auction opportunity is promoted.
645		4)	Qualification requirements. These are the procedures for qualifying bidders to
646			participate in the auction.
647		5)	Rate Design. The rate design parameters specify how the auction results will be
648			translated into retail rates.
649		6)	Competitive safeguards. These are the procedures and features of the auction
650			process that promote competition at the auction.
651		7)	Regulatory Involvement. This describes the role played by the regulator and
652			other parties in the process.
653		8)	Cost recovery assurances. This is a description of the assurances sought from
654			the regulator with respect to cost recovery for supply arranged through the
655			auction.
656	III.D.	The K	ey Decision on the Elements of the New Jersey Process
657	Q.	Are yo	ou able to describe the decisions were made with respect to these elements for
658		the No	ew Jersey Auction Process and how was each of these decisions important to
659		the su	ccess of the auction process?

Yes.

660

A.

- Q. Please address the decisions made with respect to the first element, the product design, as it relates to the BGS-FP Auction.
- 663 A. With respect to the product design, several key decisions were made.

A.

The first decision was to have winning suppliers provide full-requirements service. This meant that the BGS suppliers would supply all components of BGS supply. including capacity, energy, transmission, and ancillary services. BGS suppliers would fulfill wholesale market credit requirements, and would take, manage, and price, all volume risks including those from weather and customer migration. In return for supplying full-requirements service, suppliers would be paid for each kWh of BGS energy delivered to the wholesale meter. Suppliers would be paid as a function of the auction price, being paid the auction price times a summer factor reflecting higher summer costs from June to September (e.g., the summer factor is greater than one and, for example, might be 1.2) and the suppliers would be paid the auction price times a winter factor reflecting lower winter costs for the remaining months (e.g., the winter factor is less than one and, for example, might be 0.9).

## 676 Q. How did the decision to have winning suppliers provide full-requirements support the goals of the BGS procurement process?

The decision for the product to be full-requirements supply supported many of the goals of the process. The full-requirements product directly contributes to fulfilling the goal of having competitive entities take, manage and price BGS risks. The full-requirements product places risk management responsibility in the hands of competitive entities that were best suited to take, manage, and price these risks. This would ensure that customers' prices are disciplined by competitive forces. This also would help assure that these

services can be provided as efficiently as possible, i.e., with each supplier free to hedge or meet requirements in any way that it chose, rather than being limited by regulatory review.

The full-requirements product contributes to the goal of maximizing participation in the process. It expands the base of potential competitors, including financial players and marketers and traders without an asset base in the region. Those entities are able to use specialized skills in price-risk management to assemble wholesale portfolios and compete in the auction. Resp. Exs. 6.3 and 6.4 to this testimony summarize the participation in the auction and document the fact that participation has not been limited to portfolio owners in the regions, but has instead included a broad base of suppliers, including marketers and traders, and financial players.

A full-requirements product also avoids customer confusion by obtaining a market-priced fixed price service for customers so that customers can reasonably budget for energy usage. The full-requirements product also contributes to the goal of encouraging efficient retail markets. The price against which customers will evaluate competitive offers, the BGS price, is established and known in advance. Furthermore, it is set at a market level that includes all wholesale supply costs and risks.

- Q. Was there another decision with respect to the first key element, product design, that you believe was important?
- A. Yes. A second important decision was to determine the BGS supplier responsibility on the basis of "tranches", where each tranche represents a fixed percentage of the total BGS load requirement for an EDC. The percentage of BGS load corresponding to one tranche was chosen so that one tranche would be about 100 MW of peak load assuming normal

conditions and no migration. A supplier then bids to win a certain number of tranches,
which translates to a set percentage of the total BGS load requirement.

- Q. How did defining the supplier responsibility on the basis of tranches contribute to the goals?
- 711 A. The decision for suppliers to be responsible for a percentage of the BGS load
  712 requirements (and thus to be responsible for a percentage of the requirements for all
  713 customers) supported the goals of the process in the following ways.

This decision avoided customer confusion. Customers are not assigned to a BSG supplier, but continue to maintain a commercial relationship for BGS with the EDC. Customers are informed that a variety of suppliers are responsible for BGS supply, but are not switched to another supplier. In the New Jersey context, where there was considerable backlash over "slamming" in the context of telephone deregulation, this is an important consideration. This decision contributed to maximizing participation. Potential suppliers did not have to establish the infrastructure necessary to establish a retail relationship with customers, and did not have to take collection risk. Requiring a retail relationship would have limited the ability of some market players to participate in the auction.

- Q. Were there other decisions with respect to the first key element, product design, that you believe were important?
- Yes. Two more major decisions that were made. One decision was to have a standard supplier contract used statewide. During the regulatory review of the Auction Process, suppliers have an opportunity to comment on the contract and the BPU makes the final decision on contract terms. After the BPU has made its decision, the contract terms are

731	qualified for the auction. Another decision was to procure the load on a rolling three-year
732	basis.

A.

# Q. How did having a standard supplier contract and a rolling procurement structure further the goals of the process?

The standard contract served to further several of the goals of the process. The use of a standard contract promotes the transparency of the process and encourages participation. All bidders know the terms under which supply will be provided because the terms are standardized and are set forth in an agreement that is made available in advance of the auction. Given that all prospective bidders accept these terms before the auction, and given that, as we will explain below, all prospective bidders are required to meet the same standard qualification requirements, bids can be compared strictly on a price basis. The determination of the final price and of the winners at the auction then can be made in a transparent way through the auction format, also discussed below. A standard contract is also an essential item for ensuring fairness and for maximizing participation in the auction.

A rolling procurement structure promotes the goal of providing prices for customers that are reflective of market, while not exposing smaller customers to the possible volatility of short-term market fluctuations.

## Q. Can you please describe the decisions that were made with respect to the second key element, the auction format?

Yes. In New Jersey, a clock auction format was selected. The clock auction format is a multiple round, open, and simultaneous auction.

The clock auction used in New Jersey can be described as follows. The BGS load of each EDC for a given term is a product in the auction. In round 1 of the auction, the Auction Manager announces a price for each product in the auction. Bidders bid by specifying the number of tranches they are willing for each product at the prices for round 1. After the first round of bidding, the Auction Manager tabulates the bids from all bidders, calculating the amount of supply bid for each product. If there are more tranches bid than are needed for a product, the Auction Manager will tick down the price for that product in the next round. The Auction Manager announces the prices for the next round to the bidders, along with an indication of the excess supply in the auction. Bidders are given time to consider this information, and then the next round begins. In the next and subsequent rounds, bidders bid at the new prices announced by the Auction Manager. Bidders state how many tranches of each product that they wish to supply at that round's prices. Bidders may, in response to the new prices in the round, reduce the number of tranches that they are bidding in total across all products. If a bidder reduces the number of tranches that the bidder wishes to bid in total, the bidder provides an exit price, which is the last and best offer on the tranches being withdrawn from the auction. (Bidders, however, can never increase the total number of tranches across all products.) Bidders also may switch their bids from one product to another product. Bidders switch by reducing the tranches bid on one product while increasing the number of tranches bid on another.

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

The auction rules are designed to ensure that, if at any time during the auction, the loads of all products are fully subscribed (*i.e.*, for each product there are sufficient bids to serve its load), then the load of all products will be fully subscribed at the end of the

auction. There are specific rules that ensure that this is the case. First, if the price for a product does not change from one round to the next, bidders cannot rescind their offers by reducing the number of tranches bid for that product. Bids at a price are firm offers to supply. If the price does not change, the offer must be held. Second, if the price for a product does change and a bidder requests to switch out of a product or to reduce its number of tranches bid, and if that request would result in a product being undersubscribed, then the request can be denied. If a request to switch out or to withdraw tranches is denied, enough tranches are retained to ensure that the products are fully subscribed. The tranches are retained at the price at which the bidder is willing to bid them (either an exit price if the tranche is withdrawn, or the last price at which the tranche was bid if the bidder had requested a switch).

The auction ends when the total number of tranches bid equals the number of tranches being purchased. The bidders with bids remaining at the end of the auction are the winning bidders because they were willing to bid at the lowest prices.

A detailed set of rules comprising dozens of pages sets forth the procedures.

- Q. How does the auction format, the second key element, work to advance the goals of the process?
- 793 A. The auction format chosen furthers several goals.

The clock auction format is transparent and maximizes participation. Bidders can clearly understand how the final auction price is determined and how winning bidders emerge. The fact that the format does not advantage established players can encourage smaller, newer or non-affiliated bidders to participate.

The clock auction format is an open auction. As I explained above, I believe that this kind of auction format decreases the uncertainty faced by bidders. As an auction format that provides feedback to bidders as to the common view of the market, this auction format is an effective means of eliciting the best bids when all bidders are evaluating a common market opportunity, as is the case for BGS load. By seeing how other bidders in the aggregate are responding, an individual bidder can adjust its bidding strategy and may well be willing to better its offer while it would not have had that opportunity in a sealed bid process. This auction format is particularly well suited to obtaining prices consistent with the market.

The clock auction is a simultaneous auction. As I explained above, I believe that procurement of different products simultaneously in a single auction process leads to the efficient allocation of the supply responsibility over these different products. As bidders can observe prices and revise their bids, those that can most efficiently supply a product will be more likely to win tranches of that product.

The clock auction is inherently a flexible auction format. It can accommodate, in one simultaneous auction, products of different terms, products for different EDCs, or products for different customers segments. The clock auction is an essential element of preserving the flexibility of the process and of accommodating future refinements.

The clock auction also helps ensure that all products are subscribed, even if there are several small products that may not have attracted as much interest on their own. In the clock auction format, all products can be put at auction at once so that the broadest range of interest is attracted to the auction, and invited to bid even on smaller products.

320	As the price tick down, if a smaller product's price remains high for a time, it will attract
321	bids and its price too will tick down.

- Q. Are there aspects of the auction format that you believe are particularly important in contributing to the success of the BGS auction?
- A. Yes. Of all these ways in which the auction format contributes to the success of the auction process, I believe the main strengths of the clock auction in the context of the New Jersey BGS auctions are the following. First, the clock auction provides feedback and information to bidders, which encourages the best bids. Second, the transparency of the process encourages high participation and competitive prices. Finally, the format allows for multiple products to be procured simultaneously leading to prices that are reflective of market conditions.
- Q. Please describe the decisions made in the New Jersey BGS Auction with respect to third key element, the bidder interface.

A.

With respect to the third element, the Bidder Interface, the decision was made that the Auction Manager would be the clearinghouse for all bidder inquiries, information requests, and comments. The Auction Manager would provide timely and fair access to information for all bidders.

More specifically, the Auction Manager establishes a web site and obtains from the EDCs the data and documents required by bidders to assess the auction opportunity. The Auction Manager conducts bidder information sessions to promote the auction. The Auction Manager informs potential bidders of regulatory developments. The Auction Manager assists with understanding application requirements and bidding procedures. Finally, the Auction Manager responds to all bidder queries. The EDCs do not directly

respond to any bidder inquiries and instead refer all questions and comments to the Auction Manager. The Auction Manager may require the assistance of EDC personnel to respond to some of the inquiries if, for example, a bidder inquiry could relate to the method used to obtain data posted to the web site. Even if this is the case, the Auction Manager obtains a response from the EDC and relays this response to the bidder.

### Q. How does this help to achieve the goals of the process?

A.

Having the Auction Manager provide the bidder interface promotes the fairness of the process. All potential bidders receive identical treatment, which helps to encourage maximum participation and ensures that incumbents or bidders affiliated with the EDCs do not receive any real or perceived advantage.

The Auction Manager, in providing the bidder interface, evaluates the information provided and assesses the needs and information requirements of bidders. The Auction Manager strives ensure that for all necessary information to be provided. This should decrease uncertainty for bidders and encourage the best bids.

- Q. You mentioned qualification requirements as a fourth key element of the process.

  Please describe those qualification requirements in the context of the New Jersey

  BGS auction and explain how they help meet the goals of that process.
- 860 A. With respect to the fourth element, qualification requirements, several key decisions were made.

<u>First</u>, all applicants are required to accept the terms of the standard contract and the auction rules. Bidders cannot qualify for the auction without having accepted those governing documents. This decision furthers the goal of having a fair and transparent process.

Second, the qualification requirements ensure that, should the bidder come to win at the auction, it will be able to meet all the requirements of the supply contract. To the extent possible, the bidder is asked to show that it already meets, or that it will be able to meet by the start of the supply period, any requirement imposed by the contract (e.g., credit requirements and licensing requirements.) This decision furthers the goal of having a fair process and of maximizing participation. I believe that these requirements are essential to allow all bidders to participate on an equal basis and to enable a price-driven comparison of the bids. This also permits a rapid execution of supply contracts, thereby reducing any option premium, and ensuring that the auction produces the best bids.

Third, the application process is in two parts. This allows a list of bidders to be established (after Part 1) so that each bidder can certify that it does not have any agreement with another bidder that would harm the competitiveness of the auction. This decision works hand in hand with the competitive safeguards presented below and ensures that the auction is competitive.

- Q. Please discuss the fifth key element of the BGS Auction Process, which is Rate Design and describe how decisions made in that regard further the goals of that process.
- A. The New Jersey auction process has a pre-established rate design methodology. The New Jersey EDCs file, and the BPU approves, formulae for converting the auction prices to retail BGS rates. Hence, for any auction clearing price, the retail rates that will prevail for BGS service are known.

This serves several important goals. First, it elicits the best possible bids by enabling bidders to reasonably evaluate the potential for migration and to make bids that

reflect an analysis of this risk. Second, it contributes to the development of efficient energy markets by ensuring that retail prices reflect auction results and thereby the market.

The specific rate design methodology used in New Jersey translates auction prices into retail rates that are seasonal and sometimes vary by time of day. This specific feature further contributes to the goals by encouraging efficient consumption and conservation decisions. An additional benefit is that by shaping prices seasonally, the incentive to game the BGS offering by seasonal switching is substantially reduced. This helps to limit customer confusion as it reduces the need for switching restrictions.

- Q. What did you identify as the sixth key element of the New Jersey BGS Auction Process?
- A. The sixth key element is competitive safeguards. As I have been asked to specifically prepare testimony on competitive safeguards I will describe these in more detail later.

  Competitive safeguards contribute to the goal of attracting maximum participation by ensuring that the auction will be fair to all, and to the goal of obtaining supply at competitive prices.
- Q. You identified Regulatory Involvement as the seventh key element of the New Jersey
   BGS Auction Process. How is the New Jersey BPU involved and how does that
   involvement support the BGS Auction Process?
- A. The BPU is intimately involved in the process in New Jersey. Regulatory involvement helps to attract maximum interest in the auction, as well as to help to provide assurances of cost recovery, which enable suppliers to offer the lowest price consistent with market

conditions. I will address this topic later when I discuss the role of the various entities involved in the process.

A.

A.

- Q. The eighth and final key element of the New Jersey BGS Auction Process is Cost Recovery Assurances. Please explain these and describe why are they are a necessary part of the New Jersey BGS Auction Process.
  - In New Jersey, the BPU approves the formulas that will be used to develop retail rates at the time it approves the Auction Process. At the same time, the BPU also approves a reconciliation clause that ensures that revenues billed to BGS customers will equal payments made to BGS suppliers. The approval of the Auction Process and the auction results constitute a finding of prudence. The BPU also approves as prudent the contingency plans of each EDC. In accepting the Auction Results the BPU approves the specific rates that come from implementation of the approved formulas.

The EDCs still have the responsibility to prudently administer the contracts and any contingency plan purchases, but the *a priori* rate design and prudence determinations provide substantial assurance of cost recovery. This furthers the goal of maintaining financial integrity of the EDCs. Further, this helps to obtain lower prices in the auction as it provides assurance that the EDCs will be able to perform under the supplier contract.

#### O. Do you believe that the New Jersey auction process has successfully met its goals?

Yes. The auctions have all been successful at procuring the full volume. Each auction has attracted more interest and the auctions have become increasingly competitive. The auctions have demonstrated that there are many entities able and eager to assemble products in the competitive wholesale market and provide price-risk management services. The winners include generation assets owners, energy trading and marketing

firms, and major financial players. The auctions have demonstrated that the market is competitive and that the process works. *See* Resp. Exs. 6.5 and 6.6 to this testimony for a description of the results of the New Jersey BGS auctions.

### IV. COMPETITIVE SAFEGUARDS

### 938 Q. What is a "competitive safeguard"?

- A. By a competitive safeguard, I mean an element of the auction process that limits the scope for anti-competitive behavior. Putting in place competitive safeguards serves the goal of maximizing the competitiveness of the auction, and of obtaining supply for customers at prices that are consistent with market conditions.
  - Q. In describing the elements of the New Jersey BGS auction process and the process that Ameren is proposing in Illinois you have noted that many elements of the process serve to maximize participation and promote maximum competition in the auction. If those elements are in place and the process is designed to elicit maximum participation, why are competitive safeguards needed?
  - A. Competitive safeguards are needed for two reasons.

First, competitive safeguards serve as a prudent safety net. The auction process is designed to elicit the best participation in the process. But the participation that in fact comes forward may not be sufficient to allow competitive forces to set the auction prices. While the process can be designed to promote competition, there may be market events, changes in the sector, or crises in the industry that negatively affect participation temporarily. Good planning requires that this contingency be examined and that a measure be ready and in place to ensure that the auction design promotes a competitive result even when participation is less than was desired or anticipated.

A.

Second, there is a profit motive to behaving anti-competitively in any market. All markets have guidelines or rules to ensure that participants are behaving independently of each other and that competition is fair. Wholesale energy markets sometimes have bidding restrictions and other rules in place to ensure competitive outcomes. More generally, other laws and regulations are in place to protect competition. For example, antitrust laws are in place to ensure that market participants do not abuse their market position or collude.

The auction is a market on a smaller scale. The auction has its own guidelines and rules to ensure a competitive and fair bidding environment. Violations of some of these rules might also be violation of antitrust laws. For example, if two entities that had registered to bid independently in the auction in fact coordinate their bids, those entities would be violating specific rules of the auction and would be violating their undertakings in the application process. They might also be violating laws against bid-rigging. Even if some of the rules were redundant in the sense that to violate an auction rule would also mean violating an antitrust, these rules of the auction would still serve as a focused reminder to auction participants of requirements for competitive behavior.

- Q. You mentioned that wholesale markets typically have rules to discourage anticompetitive behavior. If these rules are already in place, why are additional measures needed for the auction?
  - It is important to distinguish between competition in the auction and competition in the wholesale markets. The competitive safeguards discussed here are in place to ensure a competitive auction. The participants in the auction access wholesale markets to acquire components of full-requirements supply, such as capacity and energy. The PJM

Interconnection ("PJM") and Midwest Independent Transmission system Organization ("MISO") markets are under the jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") and have market monitors that report to the FERC.

The competitive safeguards in the auction are aimed at ensuring that the benefits from competition that exists in markets for inputs to the auction product – such as capacity and energy – and the benefits of competition that exists for providing the pricerisk manager service and assembling the supply portfolio are actually passed on to Ameren's customers through the Auction.

- What measures incorporated into the New Jersey BGS auction process would you consider as competitive safeguards? How do these measures limit anti-competitive behavior and promote a competitive outcome?
- 991 A. The measures that I would consider competitive safeguards are the following.

Q.

The <u>first</u> safeguard is that the Auction Manager can cut back the volume purchased through auction. The Auction Manager would cut back the volume when the participation in the auction, while it could be sufficient to obtain supply for the entire load, would not be sufficient to ensure a truly competitive bidding environment and a competitive result in the auction. In deciding whether to cut back the volume, or in determining what the volume should be if it is cut back, the Auction Manager follows a set of confidential guidelines approved by the BPU.

This measure is a safety net and ensures that, for the portion of the load that will be procured at auction, that prices are the result of competition and are reflective of the market.

Second, any volume not procured through the auction (*i.e.*, any volume cutback from the auction by the Auction Manager for purposes of ensuring a competitive result) would be procured through PJM-administered markets. This second competitive safeguard is a necessary complement to the first. Prospective bidders know that their only opportunity to serve BGS load is to participate in the auction. This competitive safeguard ensures that suppliers do not avoid the auction and, instead, seek to obtain a contract to serve BGS load outside of the auction. This ensures that all suppliers who want to serve BGS load must come to the auction. This, in turn, ensures that the final auction prices are the true result of competition.

Third, the New Jersey process includes a load cap, which limits the scope of anticompetitive behavior in the auction. A load cap is a limit to the number of tranches that a
single bidder can bid and win in the auction. The load cap both limits the influence that
any one bidder can have on the results of the auction and acts as a complement to the
provisions for volume reduction (the first competitive safeguard). A bidder may be able
to affect the prices at the auction by withdrawing a portion of its supply. Lowering the
amount of supply offered by a single bidder weakens the ability of that bidder to
withdraw the supply profitably. In the extreme, if a bidder has one tranche, the bidder
cannot withdraw that tranche and profit from withdrawing the supply, as the bidder could
no longer win at the auction.

The load cap is a complement to the provisions for volume reduction in the sense that it limits a bidder's ability to bid in supply at the indicative offer stage or in the first round of the auction that over-represents the bidder's interest in the auction. The bidder has an incentive to over-represent its interest if the Auction Manager uses indications of

that interest – such as first round bids or indicative offers – in determining whether the auction volume needs to be cut back. By over-representing its interest, the bidder would hope to avoid a volume cutback; once the volume is established at too high a level, a bidder could bid to truly represent its (smaller) interest in the auction. The auction would close faster and at prices higher than those that would have prevailed had the true level of interest been known from the outset. The load cap limits the extent to which each bidder can inflate its interest in the auction and thereby mislead the Auction Manager in setting the volume to be procured.

Finally, Association and Confidential Information rules are specified as part of the auction rules that bidders must accept in order to participate in the process. The association and confidential information rules are designed specifically for the auction format to ensure that the scope for anti-competitive behavior is minimized. Association and Confidential Information rules have specific measures that ensure the independence of bidders, that ensure that no bidder has information about its competitors' bids, and that ensure that opportunities for coordination among bidders are minimized. The Association and Confidential Information rules are managed through the qualification process to ensure that bidders that are registered to participate have every incentive to comply. As I mentioned before, violations of some of these rules might also be violation of antitrust laws. For example, if two entities that had registered to bid independently in the auction in fact coordinate their bids, those entities would be violating specific rules of the auction and would be violating their undertakings in the application process — and they might also be violating laws against bid-rigging. Even if some of the rules are redundant in the sense that to violate an auction rule would also mean violating an antitrust, these rules of

1048	the auction would still serve as a focused reminder to auction participants of requirements
1049	for competitive behavior.

- 1050 Q. Is it your understanding that Ameren proposes to include these competitive

  safeguards in its process?
- 1052 A. Yes, that is my understanding. Ameren proposes to include these same competitive safeguards with a view to maximizing the competitiveness of the auction, namely:
  - provisions for a volume cutback to promote a competitive outcome in each segment of the auction;
    - a contingency plan in case of volume cutback that appropriately ensures that bidders
      do not have an opportunity to contract with Ameren to serve BGS load except
      through the auction;
  - a load cap in each auction segment to limit the influence of any one bidder;
  - Associations and Confidential Information rules to minimize the scope for anticompetitive behavior in each segment of the Ameren auction.

#### Q. Can you elaborate on Ameren's proposal for a volume cutback?

A. The Competitive Procurement Auction Rules filed in this proceeding provide to the Auction Manager the ability to cut back the volume purchased if this is necessary to ensure a competitive bidding environment. The decision on whether to cut back the volume is to be made separately for each segment of the auction (the Fixed Pricing segment and the Spot Market segment) because qualifications and bids and made separately for each segment. This means that it would be possible for the volume in the Spot Market Segment to be reduced while the Fixed Pricing segment proceeded at full volume or vice-versa. The Auction Manager would use guidelines to assess whether the

volume in a segment must be reduced and to calculate the magnitude of the volume reduction, if any. To avoid possible gaming by bidders that could undermine the effectiveness of the safeguard, the exact algorithms and method that the Auction Manager uses should be kept confidential. For example, if bidders knew that the guidelines to determine the volume in a segment relied solely on the bids in the first round, bidders may have an incentive to over-represent their interest in the first round to avoid a volume cutback that would otherwise have been necessary to get a competitive results.

#### Q. What is your understanding of Ameren's proposal for a contingency plan?

A.

A.

For any volume not procured through the auction (for example, any volume cutback from the Fixed Pricing segment by the Auction Manager for purposes of ensuring a competitive result), Ameren's contingency plan specifies that any such volume would be procured through MISO-administered markets. This properly ensures that suppliers do not have an opportunity to obtain a contract to serve BGS-FP, BGS-LFP, or BGS-LRTP load outside of their participation in the auction.

## Q. What is your understanding of Ameren's proposal regarding the load cap?

Ameren is proposing that each segment of the auction be subject to a 50% load cap. A bidder in the Spot Market segment could bid or win no more than 50% of the tranches of BGS-LRTP load, the only product in the Spot Market segment. A bidder in the Fixed Pricing segment would be limited to 50% of the tranches in the segment, but a bidder would not be limited to 50% for a given product. For example, if there were 80 tranches in the Fixed Pricing segment, and 25 of those tranches were tranches of BGS-LFP load, a bidder could bid for and win all 25 tranches of BGS-LFP load. Although the bidder would be bidding on 100% of a given product (*i.e.*, BGS-LFP load) the bidder would

only be bidding on 25/80 = 31.25% of the tranches for the segment in which the product resides (*i.e.*, the Fixed Pricing segment).

- Q. Is a load cap by segment appropriate given the design of the auction as proposed by Ameren?
- 1098 A. Yes, I believe a load cap by segment is the appropriate choice given the design of the auction.

In the auction proposed by Ameren, bidder qualification is separate for each segment. Bidder qualification is undertaken for all bidders concurrently, but a bidder that wishes to bid in both segments must qualify separately for each segment of the auction. Similarly, bids on both segments are submitted simultaneously, but separately. A bidder who has registered to bid for both segments of the auction submits a separate number of tranches for each product of each segment, and the bidder cannot switch tranches from one segment to the other. A bidder who has registered to bid for one segment only can only bid for that segment. Bidders are provided for information regarding the total excess supply for each segment separately. Bidding on each segment will typically end at different time.

A load cap by segment, which appropriately limits the influence that any one bidder can have on the results of a segment, is consistent with the design of the auction. A load cap for the entire auction is not appropriate, as bidders cannot switch among all products. The New Jersey fixed price auction uses a load cap for each utility whose load is at auction and it ensures that each utility has a diversified base of suppliers. This rationale does not apply to the Ameren auction so that a load cap by product is not necessary.

Q. Can the load cap proposed by Ameren be expected to appropriately limit the scope for anti-competitive behavior in the Fixed Pricing segment?

A. In my opinion, the load cap proposed by Ameren can be expected to appropriately limit the scope for anti-competitive behavior in the auction.

As I stated earlier when examining the need for competitive safeguards, a load cap should limit a bidder's ability to bid in supply at the indicative offer stage or in the first round of the auction that over-represents the bidder's interest in the auction. In my opinion, the load cap proposed by Ameren would provide discipline on a bidder's ability to over-represent its interest in the auction.

A load cap of 50% would mean that, in the Fixed Pricing segment, there would be a limit of 40 tranches that a bidder could bid and win in the first auction. In subsequent auctions, there would a limit of roughly 22 tranches that a bidder could bid and win since one-third of the BGS-FP load (approximately 18 tranches) and the totality of the BGS-LFP load (approximately 25 tranches) would be at auction. In my opinion, these limits would be unlikely to constrain the participation of marketers and financial players that form the bulk of the anticipated bidding pool. These entities, in my opinion, are unlikely to have business plans that would incorporate exposure to fixed-price products in excess of these amounts. To the extent that some of these entities would wish to participate at lower levels, particularly in the first year, this load cap may not completely eliminate these entities' ability to over-represent their interest; however, I believe that a load cap at this level would impose the needed discipline on a bidder's ability to do so. This discipline means that the Auction Manager is likely to set the volume in the Fixed Pricing segment on the basis of reasonably reliable information.

As I stated earlier, competitive safeguards are needed in part to curb the influence that any one bidder can have on the results of the auction. Competitive safeguards can serve to limit a bidder's ability to withdraw tranches profitably, which in turn prevents the bidder from closing the auction unilaterally at prices higher than would otherwise have been the case. There are potentially two instruments that can be used to limit a bidder's ability to withdraw tranches profitably. The first instrument is the load cap: lowering the amount of supply offered by a single bidder lowers the profitability of withdrawing supply. In the extreme, if a bidder bids a single tranche, the bidder cannot withdraw that tranche and profit from doing so, as the bidder could no longer win at the auction. The second instrument is the information provided to bidders: restricting information regarding excess supply in the auction means that the benefit - and therefore the profitability - of withdrawing tranches becomes uncertain. However, restricting information in this way can also hamper the ability of bidders to learn and revise their bids on the basis of market information, which is one of the key benefits of an open auction format. Bidders in a clock auction will rely on the fact that they are provided with information that relates the going prices to the amount of excess supply in the segment.

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

In my opinion, the combination proposed by Ameren of a 50% load cap together with limiting information regarding the remaining excess supply in each segment but only when bidding nears its conclusion strikes the right balance. This combination should be effective in limiting the influence of a bidder or a small group of bidders on the auction results while providing information to bidders on a round-to-round basis to enable bidders to revise their bids and learn on the basis of the information available to them.